# 공정 모형 및 해석 실험계획법

## Jay Liu Dept. Chemical Engineering PKNU

### **Design of Experiments**

• What we will cover



#### **Reading:**

http://www.chemometrics.se/index.php?option=com\_content&task=view&id=18&Itemid=27

#### 2010-11-24

공정 모형 및 해석, 유 준 0

### Usage examples

- Colleague: 8 factors seem to affect melt index. How to narrow them down? Which one has most effect on y?
- Engineer: 3 factors of interest; how to run the experiments?
- Manager: how do we analyze experimental data to optimize our process?
- Colleague: small changes in the flowrate lead to unsafe operation.
   Where can we operate to get similar results, but more safely?

### Why design?

#### 1. Ensure adequate variability in all key variables.

- Variable *x* may have very important effect on process performance.
- But if variation in it is small relative to noise level, then may
  - Accept  $H_0$ : effect of x = 0
  - Obtain confidence interval on effect of *x* to include zero.
- This does not necessarily mean that effect of *x* is not important only that it isn't large enough in this particular data set to detect significance.
- Design of experiments provides a form of guarantee that accepting  $H_o$  implies that the effect is not important.

### Why design?

### 2. Ensure identifiability of all important effects & interactions

- DOE helps ensure that all important main effects and interaction can be identified minimizes confounding
- Our bad experimental habits arise from the nature of university laboratories:
  - These undergrad labs aimed at demonstrating theoretical principles, not a building models, exploring for unknown effects, or optimizing processes.
  - Ex. Demonstrate the effect of temp. on reaction equilibrium changing temp. holding all other variables constant!
- COST approach is not good when searching for effects, building models, or optimizing processes.

### [FYI]<u>C</u>hanging <u>O</u>ne variable at a <u>S</u>ingle <u>T</u>ime (COST)

 We can hardly find values of conc. & temp. for max. yield using COST approach



✤ DOE: efficient ways of changing many variables at once

## Why design?

- 3. Maximize the information obtained in fewest number of experiments
  - Examples of industrial screening experiment
    - Problem: in a new plant the cycle time in the filtration section was unacceptably long.
    - Need to de-bottleneck
    - Many factors suggested that might be responsible.
    - How to screen out important ones in fewest runs possible?

공정 모형 및 해석, 유 준0

### 4. Distinguish between causality and correlation

- Data from Australia over many years on
  - # of Baptist minister
     vs. amount of liquor consumed
  - Strong correlation? Causal effect?



### Analysis of effects of a single variable at two levels

- ✤ Simplest case:
  - → catalyst A vs catalyst B
  - ✤ low RPM vs high RPM
  - ✤ Etc
- Measure  $n_A$  value from setup A
- Measure  $n_{\rm B}$  values from setup B
- Hold all other variables constant (control disturbances)
- → Two ways to answer this:
  - ✤ Comparing means of X and Y
  - ✤ Least squares

### Using confidence interval of $\overline{X} - \overline{Y}$

✤ Test for difference

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_A - 1)s_A^2 + (n_B - 1)s_B^2}{n_A - 1 + n_B - 1} \qquad \frac{\left(\overline{X} - \overline{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_p^2}{n_A} + \frac{s_p^2}{n_B}}} \sim t_{n_A + n_B - 2}$$

Confidence interval

$$\left[ \left( \bar{X} - \bar{Y} \right) - t_{n_A + n_B - 2, \alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_p^2}{n_A} + \frac{s_p^2}{n_B}}, \left( \bar{X} - \bar{Y} \right) + t_{n_A + n_B - 2, \alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_p^2}{n_A} + \frac{s_p^2}{n_B}} \right]$$

### Using least squares

- → The same result can be achieved using least squares:  $y_i = a_o + a_1 d_i$ 
  - →  $d_i$  = 0 for A;  $d_i$  = 1 for B;  $y_i$ : the response variable

**EXAMPLE** (No. 6 in mid-term exam): Etch rate of solutions 1 & 2

♦ C. I approach

$$(\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}) - t_{\alpha|2, n_{1} + n_{2} - 2}(s_{p})\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}}} \le \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} \le (\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}) + t_{\alpha/2, n_{1} + n_{2} - 2}(s_{p})\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}}}$$

$$(9.97 - 10.4) - 2.101(.340)\sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}} \le \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} \le (9.97 - 10.4) + 2.101(.340)\sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}$$

$$- 0.749 \le \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} \le -0.111$$
Zero included?
Confidence intervals of  $a_{0} \& a_{1}$ 

$$\boxed{\frac{value}{0.997} \frac{S.E}{0.107523} \frac{t \ statistic}{92.72469} \frac{P - value}{1.41E - 25} \frac{L.8 \ 95\%}{9.744103} \frac{V.B \ 95\%}{10.1959}}$$
Zero included?
Zero included?

Same result and more (significance test + prediction model)

### Concepts in DOE

- Randomization and blocking
  - ✤ Comparative experiment: effect of two methods on strength of rubber strip



and do significance test (C.I of  $\overline{X}_A - \overline{X}_B$ ) or least squares( $y_i = a_o + a_i d_i$ ) ..... Any problem with this?

• What if strip of rubber had variation along its length?

Then,  $\overline{X}_A - \overline{X}_B$  might just be reflecting this difference.

 One solution → randomize allocation of rubber portion to methods (A&B)



10

### Concepts in DOE - Randomization and blocking

- Suppose we expect variation in rubber to be progressive along length of the strip! Then, two different adjacent portion will be much more similar than two distant ones.
  - → **block into pairs** of adjacent pieces. Assign methods (A&B) randomly within block .



And only compare within block

block	A B	$D = X_A - X_B$
1	$X_{A1}X_{B1}$	$d_1 = X_{A1} - X_{B1}$
2	$X_{A2}X_{B2}$	$d_2$
n	$X_{An} X_{Bn}$	d <sub>n</sub>

Blocking can remove effect of possible uncontrolled variations along the length of strip (remember advantage of paring)