

## Chapter 4. Numerical Integration

### 1. Numerical solutions of ODEs

Classification of ODE's: IVP(initial-value problems) & BVP(boundary-value problems)

Ex.) IVP:  $y'' = -yx$  B.C.s :  $y(0) = 2, y'(0) = 1$

BVP:  $y'' = -yx$  B.C.s :  $y(0) = 2, y(1) = 0$

#### 1.1. Initial-value problems for ODE's

$$y^{(m)} = f(x, y, y', y'', \dots, y^{(m-1)})$$

- The above equation can represent either  $m$ th-order differential equation, a system of equations of mixed order but with total of  $m$ , or a system of  $m$  first-order equations.
- Consider the initial-value problem:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0, x_0 \leq x \leq x_N$

#### (1) Explicit methods:

Euler's method:  $y_{i+1} = y_i + hf(x_i, y_i)$

## Runge-Kutta (4<sup>th</sup>-order)

- most widely used formulas for the numerical solution of ODEs'.
- Advantages: easy to program
  - good stability characteristics
  - desired step size
  - self-starting
- Disadvantages: require more computer time than other methods of comparable accuracy
  - local error estimates are somewhat difficult to obtain

- Formula of the R-K type:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y)$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)h$$
$$k_1 = f(x_i, y_i), \quad k_2 = f\left(x_i + \frac{1}{2}h, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_1h\right)$$
$$k_3 = f\left(x_i + \frac{1}{2}h, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_2h\right), \quad k_4 = f(x_i + h, y_i + k_3h)$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{k_1}{6} + \frac{k_2}{3} + \frac{k_3}{3} + \frac{k_4}{6}$$
$$k_1 = hf(x_i, y_i), \quad k_2 = hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{k_1}{2}\right),$$
$$k_3 = hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{k_2}{2}\right), \quad k_4 = hf(x_i + h, y_i + k_3)$$

## Example 1: R-K method: 1<sup>st</sup>-order ODE

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{4t}{y} - ty, \quad y(0) = 3 \quad (\Delta t = 0.1)$$

```
c ... case 1.
c ... runge-kutta method (1st order ODE)

implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
parameter (nf=5)
external f1

open(unit=2,file='ode1.dat',status='unknown')

c ... initial data
t = 0.d0
dt= 1.d-1
y = 3.d0
ntime = nf/dt
write(2,20) t,y

c ... numerical integration
do i=1,ntime
call runge (f1,dt,t,y)
t=t+dt
if(mod(i,1).eq.0.0) write(2,20) t,y
format(1x,'t=',f12.6,2x,'y=',f12.6)
enddo

stop
end
```

20

```
c -----
subroutine runge (f1,dt,t,y)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
parameter (hf=0.5d0)
external f1
y1=dt*f1(t,y)
y2=dt*f1(t+hf*dt,y+hf*y1)
y3=dt*f1(t+hf*dt,y+hf*y2)
y4=dt*f1(t+dt,y+y3)
y=y+(y1+2.d0*y2+2.d0*y3+y4)/6.d0
return
end

double precision function f1(t,y)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
f1=4.d0*t/y - t*y
return
end
```

solution: t= 0.000000 y= 3.000000  
t= 0.200000 y= 2.967145  
t= 0.400000 y= 2.874147  
t= 0.600000 y= 2.736491  
t= 0.800000 y= 2.576134  
t= 1.000000 y= 2.416485  
t= 1.200000 y= 2.276983  
t= 1.400000 y= 2.168942

## Example 2: R-K method: 2<sup>nd</sup>-order ODE

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + 2\frac{dy}{dt} + 4y = 0, \quad y(0) = 2, \quad \frac{dy(0)}{dt} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = -2z - 4y, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = z, \quad y(0) = 2, \quad z(0) = 0$$

```

c ... case 2.
c ... runge-kutta method (2nd order ODE)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
external f1,f2
parameter (n=5)

open(unit=2,file='ode2.dat',status='unknown')

c ... initial data
t = 0.d0
dt= 1.d-1
z = 0.d0
y = 2.d0
ni= n/dt
write(2,20) t,y,z

c ... integration
do 10 i=1,ni
call runge (f1,f2,dt,y,z)
t=t+dt
if(mod(i,5).eq.0.0) write(2,20) t,y,z
20 format(1x,'t=',f12.6,2x,'y=',f12.6,2x,'z=',f12.6)
10 continue

stop
end

```

Solution:

$t = 0.000000$	$y = 2.000000$	$z = 0.000000$
$t = 1.000000$	$y = 0.301136$	$z = -1.677142$
$t = 2.000000$	$y = -0.306259$	$z = 0.198154$
$t = 3.000000$	$y = -0.004571$	$z = 0.203573$
$t = 4.000000$	$y = 0.041989$	$z = -0.050870$
$t = 5.000000$	$y = -0.004342$	$z = -0.021541$

```

c -----
subroutine runge (f1,f2,dt,y,z)
parameter (hf=5d-1)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
external f1,f2
z1=dt*f1(y,z)
y1=dt*f2(y,z)
z2=dt*f1(y+hf*y1,z+hf*z1)
y2=dt*f2(y+hf*y1,z+hf*z1)
z3=dt*f1(y+hf*y2,z+hf*z2)
y3=dt*f2(y+hf*y2,z+hf*z2)
z4=dt*f1(y+y3,z+z3)
y4=dt*f2(y+y3,z+z3)
z=z+(z1+2.d0*z2+2.d0*z3+z4)/6.d0
y=y+(y1+2.d0*y2+2.d0*y3+y4)/6.d0
return
end

double precision function f1(y,z)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
f1=-2.d0*z-4.d0*y
return
end

double precision function f2(y,z)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
f2=z
return
end

```

## (2) Implicit methods

The implicit Euler method (1<sup>st</sup>-order accurate):  $y_{i+1} = y_i + hf_{i+1}$   $i=0, \dots, n-1$

$$y(0) = y_0$$

The trapezoidal method (2<sup>nd</sup>-order accurate):  $y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{2}(f_{i+1} + f_i)$   $i=0, \dots, n-1$

$$y(0) = y_0$$

## 1.2. Boundary-value problems for ODEs

### (1) One space variable

$$Ly = ay'' + by' + cy = f(x, y', y'') \quad x \in \Omega$$

Typical B.C's:  $y(x_0) = y_0$ ,  $y(x_N) = y_N$  or  $y(x_0) = y_0$ ,  $y'(x_N) = 0$

Ex.)  $y'' + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(u'^2 + u^2) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ ;  $y(0) = 1$ ,  $y(\pi/2) = 0$

$$\text{When } \alpha=0, \quad y = 1 - \left( \frac{p}{4} + \frac{2}{p} \right)x + \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

$\alpha \neq 0$  ( $\alpha=1$ ):  $y = 1 - \sin x$  (solution is unique)

(another case, if  $\alpha=1$  and B.C's,  $y(0)=1$ ,  $y'(\pi/2)=0$  then,  $y=1 \pm \sin x$  (two solutions))

When attempting to solve problem computationally, the question of existence and uniqueness of the theoretical (or exact) solution should always be born in mind.

## (2) Two space variables

$$Lu = a \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + b \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + c \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}) \quad (x, y) \in \Omega$$

- Elliptic in  $\Omega$  if  $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ , parabolic if  $b^2 - 4ac = 0$ , and hyperbolic if  $b^2 - 4ac > 0$
- Elliptic equations possess no such directions (=characteristic curves) at a point, whereas parabolic and hyperbolic equations possess one and two.
- Elliptic equations cannot be solved using step-by-step integration along a characteristic curve)
- Moreover, well-posed elliptic problems have their B.C.'s specified on a closed boundary, whereas parabolic and hyperbolic problems do not.

## (3) Typical boundary conditions

- Dirichlet problem:  $u = \alpha(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma$ ;  $\alpha$  is prescribed function on  $\Gamma$
- Neumann problem:  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \beta(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma$ ;  $\beta$  is prescribed function on  $\Gamma$
- Robin problem (mixed B.C.):  $\mathbf{a}(x, y)u + \mathbf{b}(x, y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \mathbf{g}(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma$ ;  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  on  $\Gamma$

- Finite difference table (even spacing mesh size)

	Backward									Forward									
	Div	L4	L3	L2	L1	I	R1	R2	R3	Err	Div	L3	L2	L1	I	R1	R2	R3	R4
$F'$	$\frac{h}{2}$				-1	<b>1</b>				$\frac{h}{2}$	$\frac{h}{2}$			-1	1				$\frac{h}{2}$
	$2h$			1	-4	<b>3</b>				$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$2h$			-3	4	-1			$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$F''$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$			1	-2	<b>1</b>				$\frac{h}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$			<b>1</b>	-2	1			$\frac{h}{2}$
	$h^2$			-1	4	-5	<b>2</b>			$\frac{h^2}{2}$	$\frac{h^2}{2}$			<b>2</b>	-5	4	-1		$\frac{h^2}{2}$
$F'''$	$\frac{h^3}{2h^3}$		-1	3	-3	<b>1</b>				$\frac{h}{2h^3}$	$\frac{h^3}{2h^3}$			-1	3	-3	1		$\frac{h}{2}$
	$2h^3$	3	-14	24	-18	<b>5</b>				$\frac{h^2}{2h^3}$				-5	18	-24	14	-3	$\frac{h^2}{2}$

	Central							Offset (one R1 and several L's)										
	Div	L3	L2	L1	I	R1	R2	R3	Err	Div	L3	L2	L1	I	R1	R2	R3	Err
$F'$	$2h$			-1	<b>0</b>	1			$\frac{h^2}{2}$									
	$12h$		1	-8	<b>0</b>	8	-1		$\frac{h^4}{2}$									
$F''$	$\frac{h^2}{12h^2}$			1	-2	1			$\frac{h^2}{2h^2}$	$2h^2$	1	-1	<b>-1</b>	1				$\frac{h}{2}$
	$h^2$		-1	16	<b>-30</b>	16	-1		$\frac{h^4}{9h^2}$	$9h^2$	2	0	-3	<b>-2</b>	3			$\frac{h}{2}$
$F'''$	$2h^3$		-1	2	<b>0</b>	-2	1		$\frac{h^2}{3h^2}$	$3h^2$	1	-1	0	<b>-1</b>	1			$\frac{h}{2}$
	$8h^3$	1	-8	13	<b>0</b>	-13	8	-1	$\frac{h^4}{7h^2}$	$7h^2$	-2	8	-5	<b>-6</b>	5			$\frac{h^2}{2}$

## (4) Numerical methods

### Runge-Kutta (shooting method, explicit)

**Example 3:** 2<sup>nd</sup>-order ODE (two-point boundary value problem):

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{4}y = 8 \quad y(0)=0, y(10)=Y_n=0 \text{ (shooting method).}$$

- Assume  $\frac{dy}{dt}(0) = U$
- Two solutions of the initial value problem are carried out using two estimates,  $U_o$  and  $U_{oo}$ , yielding  $Y_o$ ,  $Y_{oo}$ .
- New estimate (linear interpolation using two estimates):

$$U_1 = U_o + [Y_n - Y_o] \left[ \frac{U_o - U_{oo}}{Y_o - Y_{oo}} \right]$$

- In the linear case, desired solution is obtained within only three iterations.

## Program:

```
c ... case 3.
c ... runge-kutta method (2nd order ODE, shooting method)
    implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
    parameter (nmax=2000,n=10,tol=1.d-7)
    double precision y(0:nmax),z(0:nmax)
    external fy,fz

    open(unit=2,file='ode3.dat',status='unknown')

c ... input data
    iter = 1
    dt = 0.1d0
    nx = n/dt
    z0asm = 10.d0
    y(0) = 0.d0
    ynx = 0.d0
    z(0) = z0asm
    t = 0.d0
40
c ... integration
    do i=1,nx
        call runge (fy,fz,dt,y(i-1),z(i-1),y(i),z(i))
        t = t + dt
    enddo

c ... shooting method
    print *,iter,z(0),t,y(nx)
    if (dabs(y(nx)).lt.tol) go to 50
    if (iter.gt.50) stop 'Maximum iterations'
    z0tmp=z0asm
    if (iter.eq.1) z0asm=z0asm*1.01d0
    if (iter.ge.2) z0asm=z0asm
    & + (ynx-y(nx))*(z0asm-z0old)/(y(nx)-ynxold)
    z0old=z0tmp
    ynxold=y(nx)
    iter=iter+1
    go to 40
```

```
50
    t = 0.d0
    do i=0,nx
        if(mod(i,5).eq.0) write(2,30) t,y(i)
        t = t + dt
    enddo
30
    format(1x,' t=',f12.6,' y=',f12.6)

    stop
end

c -----
subroutine runge (fy,fz,dt,y,z,ya,za)
    implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
    parameter (hf=0.5d0)
    external fy,fz

    z1=dt*fz(y)
    y1=dt*fy(z)
    z2=dt*fz(y+hf*y1)
    y2=dt*fy(z+hf*z1)
    z3=dt*fz(y+hf*y2)
    y3=dt*fy(z+hf*z2)
    z4=dt*fz(y+y3)
    y4=dt*fy(z+z3)
    za=z+(z1+2.d0*z2+2.d0*z3+z4)/6.d0
    ya=y+(y1+2.d0*y2+2.d0*y3+y4)/6.d0
    return
end

double precision function fz(y)
    implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
    fz = 8.d0-y/4.d0
    return
end

double precision function fy(z)
    implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
    fy = z
    return
end
```

## Matrix method (Tridiagonal form)

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + Ay = B, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y(L) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq L)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & a & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & a & 1 & & \\ & - & - & - & & \\ & & - & - & - & \\ & & 1 & a & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & a & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ - \\ - \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B(\Delta x)^2 \\ B(\Delta x)^2 \\ B(\Delta x)^2 \\ - \\ - \\ B(\Delta x)^2 \\ B(\Delta x)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha = -2 + A(\Delta x)^2$

- Advantage: its simplicity.
- Drawback: when encountered in dealing with nonlinear differential equations.  
Iterative technique is required

$$\text{Example 4: } \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{4}y = 8, \quad y(0) = y(10) = 0$$

```

c ... case 4.
c ... tridiagonal matrix type
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
parameter (nmax=2000,n=10)
double precision y(nmax)

c ... input data
dt = 0.1d0
nx = n/dt
print *,nx

c ... integration
call tri_mat(y,nx-1,dt)

open (unit=2,file='ode4.dat',status='unknown')
t = 0.d0
do i=0,nx
if(mod(i,5).eq.0) write(2,10) t,y(i)
t = t + dt
enddo
10 format(1x,' t=',f12.6,1x,' y=',f12.6)

stop
end

c -----
subroutine tri_mat(xs,n,dt)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
double precision xs(n)
double precision xa(n),xb(n),xc(n),xd(n)

xa(1) = 0.d0
do i=2,n
xa(i) = 1.d0
enddo

do i=1,n
xb(i) = dt*dt/4.d0 - 2.d0
enddo

```

```

do i=1,n-1
xc(i) = 1.d0
enddo
xc(n) = 0.d0

do i=1,n
xd(i) = 8.d0*dt*dt
enddo

call gelm(xs,n,xa,xb,xc,xd)

return
end

c -----
subroutine gelm(xs,n,xa,xb,xc,xd)
implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)
double precision xa(n),xb(n),xc(n),xd(n)
double precision xs(n)

do i=1,n-1
xb(i+1) = xb(i+1) - xc(i)*xa(i+1)/xb(i)
xd(i+1) = xd(i+1) - xd(i)*xa(i+1)/xb(i)
enddo
xs(n) = xd(n)/xb(n)
do i=n-1,1,-1
xs(i) = (xd(i)-xc(i)*xs(i+1))/xb(i)
enddo
return
end

```

Solution:

$t = 0.000000$	$y = 0.000000$
$t = 2.000000$	$y = 34.818673$
$t = 4.000000$	$y = 67.045377$
$t = 6.000000$	$y = 67.045377$
$t = 8.000000$	$y = 34.818673$
$t = 10.000000$	$y = 0.000000$

**A. The linear case:**  $Lu = u'' + b(x)u' + c(x)u = f(x)$ ,  $(x,y) \in \Omega$  ( $\Omega = [x_0, x_N]$ )

$$\text{B.C.: } u(x_0) = u_0, u(x_N) = u_N$$

Finite difference scheme  $\rightarrow$  tridiagonal matrix form !!!

If this system has derivative B.C.'s ( $u(x_0) = u_0, u'(x_N) = 0$ ), two methods can be used.

$$\frac{u_N - u_{N-1}}{h} = 0 \quad (\text{solve the tridiagonal matrix from } u_1 \text{ to } u_{N-1})$$

$$\frac{u_{N+1} - u_{N-1}}{2h} = 0 \quad (\text{solve the tridiagonal matrix from } u_1 \text{ to } u_{N-1})$$

**B. The nonlinear case:**  $u'' = 1 - \frac{1}{2}[(u')^2 + u^2]$ ,  $u(0) = 1, u(\pi/2) = 0$

$$\text{Case 1)} \quad \frac{u_{i+1}^{r+1} - 2u_i^{r+1} + u_{i-1}^{r+1}}{h^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{u_{i+1}^r - u_{i-1}^r}{2h} \right)^2 + (u_i^r)^2 \right]$$

$u(0) = 1, u(n) = 0$ , initial guess:  $u(x) = 1 - cx \rightarrow$  matrix form

$$\text{Case 2)} \quad \frac{u_{i+1}^{r+1} - 2u_i^{r+1} + u_{i-1}^{r+1}}{h^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{u_{i+1}^r - u_{i-1}^r}{2h} \right) \left( \frac{u_{i+1}^{r+1} - u_{i-1}^{r+1}}{2h} \right) + (u_i^r)^2 \right] \rightarrow \text{matrix form}$$

Case 3) Two iterative methods which do not involve the solution of matrix systems.

$$\frac{u_{i+1}^r - 2u_i^{r+1} + u_{i-1}^r}{h^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{u_{i+1}^r - u_{i-1}^r}{2h} \right)^2 + (u_i^r)^2 \right]$$

$$\frac{u_{i+1}^r - 2u_i^{r+1} + u_{i-1}^{r+1}}{h^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{u_{i+1}^r - u_{i-1}^{r+1}}{2h} \right)^2 + (u_i^r)^2 \right]$$

## Newton's method

$$\underline{J}^k (\underline{x}^{k+1} - \underline{x}^k) = \underline{J}^k \Delta \underline{x}^k = -\underline{f}(\underline{x}^k)$$

↑    Residual vector

State variables at  $k^{th}$  iteration

State variables at  $k+1^{th}$  iteration

Jacobian matrix

$$\underline{J}^k \equiv \left. \frac{\partial \underline{f}}{\partial \underline{x}} \right|^k \Rightarrow J_{ij}^k \equiv \frac{\partial f_i(\underline{x}^k)}{\partial x_j}$$