2.6 Numerical Linear Algebra

For $\underline{A} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$,

Structure of $\underline{\underline{A}}$:

- full: almost all $\{a_{ij}\}$ are nonzero.
- sparse: almost all $\{a_{ij}\}$ are zero. sparse if more than 90% are zero.

$\mathbf{Methods} \ :$

- direct: finite (predetermined) number of operation gives answer.
- iterative: method converges asymptotically.

As $n \to \infty$, solution converges.

Error :

- direct: precision error (machine accuracy)
- iterative: convergence error (depending on algorithm) + precision error

How to decide method :

- 1. Robustness (stability, convergence)
- 2. Storage requirement
- 3. Computational work

Computational work : operation count

• solution of upper triangular matrix

$$\underline{U} \, \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

$$U_{11}x_{1} + U_{12}x_{2} + \cdots + U_{1n}x_{n} = b_{1}$$

$$U_{22}x_{2} + \cdots + U_{2n}x_{n} = b_{2}$$

$$\vdots = \vdots$$

$$U_{n-1,n-1}x_{n-1} + U_{n-1,n}x_{n} = b_{n-1}$$

$$U_{n,n}x_{n} = b_{n}$$

Back-substitution:

$$x_{i} = \frac{b_{i} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} U_{ik} x_{k}}{U_{ii}}, \ i = n, n - 1, \dots, 1$$

For each i,

1 division

n-i addition

1 subtraction

n-i multiplication

work =
$$n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-i)$$

= $n + n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
 $\propto \frac{n^2}{2} \sim \mathcal{O}(n^2)$

• Multiplication of two matrices For $\underline{\underline{C}} = \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{B}}$

$$i, j$$

$$s = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} k = 1, \dots, n \\ s = s + a_{ik}b_{kj} \\ c_{ij} = s$$

FLOP = floating-point operations $s = s + a_{ik}b_{kj} : \mathcal{O}(n^3)$ FLOPS

• Gaussian elimination

ſ	a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	x_1		b_1	
	a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	x_2		b_2	
	a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	<i>a</i> ₃₄	x_3		b_2 b_3	
	a_{41}	a_{42}	a_{43}	a_{44}	x_4		b_4	

$$\underline{J}_{21}\left(-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{J}_{41}\left(-\frac{a_{41}}{a_{11}}\right) \underline{J}_{31}\left(-\frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}\right) \underline{J}_{21}\left(-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}\right)$$
$$= \underline{\underline{A}}^{(2)}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(2)} & a_{12}^{(2)} & a_{13}^{(2)} & a_{14}^{(2)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(2)} & a_{23}^{(2)} & a_{24}^{(2)} \\ 0 & a_{32}^{(2)} & a_{33}^{(2)} & a_{34}^{(2)} \\ 0 & a_{42}^{(2)} & a_{43}^{(2)} & a_{44}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$a_{22}^{(2)} = a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}a_{12}$$

Number of operations

 $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ for matrix multiplication

- $\frac{1}{2}n^2$ times matrix multiplication
- \rightarrow total transformation for GE needs $\sim \frac{1}{2}n^5$.
- Cost of sparse matrix multiplication $\underline{J}_{ij}\underline{\underline{A}} <=> \mathcal{O}(n)$
- Cost of Gaussian elimination $\langle = \rangle \mathcal{O}(n^3)$

Back-substitution $\langle = \rangle \mathcal{O}(n^2)$: insignificant to cost of GE

Linear equation solver :

1. Gaussian elimination

$$\underline{\underline{A}} \, \underline{x} = \underline{b} \to \underline{\underline{U}} \, \underline{x} = \underline{\hat{b}}$$

2. LU-decomposition

$$\underline{\underline{A}} \to \underline{\underline{L}} \, \underline{\underline{U}}$$

$Two \ problems \ :$

1. $\underline{\underline{A}}_{i} \underline{x} = \underline{b}_{i}$ where $i = 1, \dots, m$. Both type 1 and 2 are OK. 2. $\underline{A}_{o} \underline{x} = \underline{b}_{i}$

LU-decomposition is superior.

- $\underline{\underline{L}}\,\underline{\underline{U}}\,\underline{x} = \underline{b}_i$
- (a) $\underline{\underline{L}} \underline{z} = \underline{b} \rightarrow$ solve lower triangular set.
- (b) $\underline{U} \underline{x} = \underline{z} \rightarrow$ solve upper triangular set $\rightarrow \underline{x}$.
- **Theorem** Let $\underline{\underline{A}} \in \Re^{n \times n}$ and let $\underline{\underline{A}}_k$ be $\Re^{k \times k}$ matrix formed by the intersection of first k rows and k columns of $\underline{\underline{A}}$. If $\det(\underline{\underline{A}}_k) \neq 0, k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, then a unique $\underline{\underline{L}}$ exists with $L_{ij} = m_{ij}$ and $m_{ii} = 1$ and a unique $\underline{\underline{U}}$ exists with $U_{ij} = u_{ij}$.

Proof Suppose the theorem holds for n = k - 1.

$$\underline{\underline{A}}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{A}}_{k-1} & \underline{\underline{b}} \\ \underline{\underline{C}}^{T} & a_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \in \Re^{k \times k}$$
$$\underline{\underline{L}}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{L}}_{k-1} & \underline{\underline{0}} \\ \underline{\underline{m}}^{T} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\underline{U}}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{U}}_{k-1} & \underline{\underline{u}} \\ \underline{\underline{0}}^{T} & u_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

Find $\underline{m}, \underline{u}$, and u_{kk} .

$$\underline{\underline{L}}_{k}\underline{\underline{U}}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{L}}_{k-1}\underline{\underline{U}}_{k-1} & \underline{\underline{L}}_{k-1}\underline{\underline{u}} \\ \underline{\underline{m}}^{T}\underline{\underline{U}}_{k-1} & \underline{\underline{m}}^{T}\underline{\underline{u}} + u_{kk} \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\underline{A}}_{k}$$

Equate

- 1. $\underline{\underline{A}}_{k-1} = \underline{\underline{L}}_{k-1} \underline{\underline{U}}_{k-1}$: OK
- 2. $\underline{b} = \underline{\underline{L}}_{k-1} \underline{u} \to$ solve for \underline{u} .
- 3. $\underline{c}^T = \underline{m}^T \underline{\underline{U}}_{k-1} \to \text{solve for } \underline{\underline{m}}.$
- 4. $\underline{m}^T \underline{u} + u_{kk} = a_{kk} \rightarrow \text{ solve for } u_{kk}.$

Pivoting When does GE breaks down? $a_{kk}^{(k)} = 0$

Pivoting: To prevent problems with zero pivot. Search the column and move the row with largest figure top in the unfinished part during GE.

• Partial pivoting

$$|a_{rk}^{(k)}| = \max_{k \le i \le n} |a_{ik}^{(k)}|$$

• Full pivoting

$$|a_{rk}^{(k)}| = \max_{\substack{k \le i \le n \\ k \le j \le n}} |a_{ij}^{(k)}|$$

If matrix is singular, zero pivot moves to $a_{nn}^{(n)}$ position.

When we don't have to pivot?

1. Diagonally dominant matrix

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{n} |a_{ij}|$$

2. Symmetric and positive definite matrix

$$\underline{\underline{A}}^{T} = \underline{\underline{A}}, \ \underline{x}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{x} > 0 \ \forall \underline{x} \in \Re^{n}$$

Theorem A diagonally dominant matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ satisfies

- 1. Each princial minor of $\underline{\underline{A}}$ is diagonally dominant
- 2. $\underline{\underline{A}}$ is non-singular.

Sparse matrix :

- Banded structure
- Unstructured

Pivoting of banded matrix

Partial pivoting is OK

Full pivoting is not OK (It destroys the band structure).

2.7 Error Analysis for Linear Systems

Residual vector Residual vector $\underline{r} = \underline{b} - \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x}$

When $\underline{r} = 0 \rightarrow \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ When $||\underline{r}|| \ll 1 \rightarrow \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} \cong \underline{b}$

Example For the following matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ and vector $\underline{\underline{b}}$

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2969 & 0.8648\\ 0.2161 & 0.1441 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\underline{b}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8642\\ 0.1440 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution and residual vector are

$$\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9911\\ -0.4870 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 10^{-8}\\ -10^{-8} \end{bmatrix}$$

It looks reasonable. But, the exact solution is

$$\underline{x}_{\text{exact}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

During Gaussian elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2969 & 0.8648 & 0.8642 \\ 0.2161 & 0.1441 & 0.1440 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1.2969 & 0.8648 & 0.8642 \\ 0 & 10^{-8} & -2 \times 10^{-8} \end{bmatrix}$$

Perturbation analysis for $\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$

Consider

$$\underline{A}(\underline{x} + \delta \underline{x}) = \underline{b} + \delta \underline{b}$$

Then

$$\underline{\underline{A}}\delta\underline{x} = \delta\underline{b} \to \delta\underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\delta\underline{b} \to \|\delta\underline{x}\| \le \|\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\|\|\delta\underline{b}\|$$

Num Meth Chem Engrs, Prof. Do Hyun Kim, KAIST

$$\underline{\underline{A}}\,\underline{x} = \underline{b} \to \|\underline{b}\| \le \|\underline{\underline{A}}\|\|\underline{x}\| \to \frac{1}{\|\underline{x}\|} \le \underline{\underline{\underline{A}}}\|\underline{b}\|$$

Then,

$$\frac{\|\delta\underline{x}\|}{\|\underline{x}\|} \le \left[\|\underline{\underline{A}}\|\|\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\|\right] \frac{\|\delta\underline{b}\|}{\|\underline{b}\|}$$

 $[\|\underline{\underline{A}}\|\|\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\|]$ is the condition number and bounds relative error in \underline{x} wrt relative error in \underline{b} . Condition number has the following relation.

$$\kappa(\underline{\underline{A}}) = \|\underline{\underline{A}}\| \|\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\| \sim \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

Example For the example above

$$\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} = 10^8 \begin{bmatrix} 0.1441 & -0.8648 \\ -0.2161 & 1.2969 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|\underline{\underline{A}}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} |a_{ij}| = 2.1617$$

$$\|\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 1.5130 \times 10^8$$

Then,

$$\kappa(\underline{A}) = 3 \times 10^8$$

For your reference, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1.4410, \lambda_{\text{min}} = \sim 10^{-8}$.